

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,032	GORDON, GARY G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	

All Participants:

(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.

(2) Thomas Tarolli.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 November 2014

Time: various

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

no

Claims discussed:

1,10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner called to propose an amendment to independent claims 1 and 10 to better define the invention and clarify that the slidable axis was not parallel to the legs, but to the generally planar base, per the attached examiner amendment, which was approved 7/14/2005. .